

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,012	09/27/2001	Giancarlo Carbone	GB920010014US1	2763
45502	7590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
8911 N. CAPI SUITE 2110	TAL OF TEXAS HWY.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78759			. 2122	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/965,012	CARBONE ET AL	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoang-Vu A Nguyen-B	a 2122				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo		EDI V. 10. OFT TO EVOIDE	- MONTH/O) FROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. a reply within the statutory minimum o period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 Sentember 2004					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 September 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
تــارت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	ao. In parto que yro,					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 15-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 15-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	roian priority under 25 II S	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reigh phonty under 35 0.5.	C. § 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
a)		monte have been received					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ul Stane			
	application from the International B		een received in this Mationa	Utage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for		not received				
•	coo the attached detailed office action for	a list of the outlined outloo					
Attachmer	nt(s)	,					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	*/	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	ΓO-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date		:	- · /			

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed September 16, 2004.

2. Claims 1-3 and 15-31 are pending.

Response to Amendments

- 3. Per Applicants' request, claims 4-14 have been cancelled, claim 1 has been amended and claims 15-31 have been added.
- 4. The objection to claims 1 and 3 is hereby withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to these claims to correct clear antecedent basis for the terms "authorization" and "program."
- 5. The rejection of claims 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, of claims 8, 9, 11, 12 under both 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. § 101, and of claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 are moot and withdrawn in view of Applicants' cancellation of these claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Following is the Examiner's response to Applicants' arguments.
- a. With respect to claim 1, Applicants have argued that Arbaugh never mentions or suggests "licensing information" at all, but rather is directed to detecting and fixing software corruption. In response to this argument, the Examiner notes that the licensing information is inherently verified by Arbaugh's authentication process (see at least 13:34 to 14:58).
- b. With respect to claim 15, Applicants have argued that neither Arbaugh nor AAPA cite the feature of "the simplified local license certificate authorizing the

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012

Art Unit: 2122

loading of the program regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer." The Examiner notes that the limitation "regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer" appears not to be supported in the specification. Accordingly, any arguments that this feature provides a patentable distinction over Arbaugh or AAPA are not persuasive.

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being unpatentable over Arbaugh is still proper and maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 15-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 15, 24 and 29 recite the limitation "regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer." This limitation seems to be nowhere found in the specification.

Claims 16-23, 25-28 and 30-31 that depend from 15, 24 and 29 respectively are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as containing the deficiency of the base claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012

Art Unit: 2122

Conclusion

9. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:45 to 16:45.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012

Art Unit: 2122

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoangwan Tony napryenso

Art Unit 2122

January 15, 2005